| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
| 5  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |
| 6  | * * *   |
| 7  | WILLIAM NESBIT, 2:11-CV-00092-PMP-GWF   |
| 8  | Plaintiff, OPPER  |
| 9  | vs. ORDER   |
| 10 | ROBERT L. FORNARO, et al.,  |
| 11 | }   |
| 12 | Defendants.   |
| 13 | Plaintiff having failed to file a timely response in opposition to                      |
| 14 | Defendants' Motion to Lift Stay and Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #52) filed September        |
| 15 | 16, 2011, and it further appearing that Defendants are entitled to the relief requested |
| 16 | on the merits, and good cause appearing,  |
| 17 | IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Lift Stay and Motion to                        |
| 18 | Dismiss (Doc. #52) is <b>GRANTED</b> and that Plaintiff William Nesbit's Complaint is   |
| 19 | hereby <b>DISMISSED</b> with prejudice.   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | DATED: October 25, 2011.  |
| 22 | This m. On  |
| 23 |   |
| 24 | PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge  |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |